

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/242,525	02/17/1999	SHINICHI SATO	11301-1480	1170		
75	7590 02/08/2006			EXAMINER		
GEORGE M THOMAS			SERGENT, RABON A			
THOMAS KAYDEN HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1500			1711			
ATLANTA, G	A 303395948		DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 .		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/242,525	SATO ET AL.			
		Examiner	' Art Unit			
		Rabon Sergent	1711			
Period f	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply 	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHI0 - Extending - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI(36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	ovember 2005.				
2a)⊠		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46,48 and 63</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>46</u> is/are allowed.	•	•			
6)⊠						
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
. 8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	1).		
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	received in this National Stage			
* 9	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received			
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot	receiveu.			
Attachmer	it(s)					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
-	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

1. Claims 48 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 48 and 63, as amended, are confusing, because claim 48 now essentially contains two definitions for compound (a) and claim 63 now essentially contains two definitions for compounds (a), (b), (c), and (d), and it cannot be determined to what extent the more narrow definitions limit or further define the broad definitions. In other words, multiple definitions of the same component within the same claim render the claims needlessly ambiguous.

- 2. The prior art rejection has been withdrawn in view of applicants' response. In view of patentees' disclosure that 2 to 25% of the NCO terminations of the prepolymer are preferably reacted with the silicon containing material, the resulting preferred 75 to 98% of NCO functional groups on the reaction product teaches away from applicants' requirement that all of the NCO groups be reacted.
- 3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1711

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGENT

R. Sergent February 6, 2006